INDIAN DEVELOPMENT POLICY REVIEW
Vol. 6, Nos. 1-2, 2025, pp. 97-110

URL: http://www.blackroseindia.com/idpr
Publisher of Open Access Journals

Growth of Banks in India and Rural Development: An Econometric Analysis

Dipak Kundu

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Bankim Sardar College, West Bengal, India
E-mail: dip11091979@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper presents a comprehensive econometric analysis of the growth of banks in India and its impact on rural development. The banking sector plays a pivotal role in fostering economic development by mobilizing savings, providing credit, and facilitating financial inclusion, particularly in rural areas. Utilizing time-series and panel data from various public and private sector banks and rural socioeconomic indicators over the last three decades, this research investigates the causal relationship and impact magnitude of banking growth on rural economic outcomes. The results reveal a significant positive correlation between banking expansion—measured through variables such as branch penetration, credit disbursed, and deposit mobilization—and key rural development indicators, including agricultural productivity, rural income levels, and poverty reduction. The study also discusses policy implications, emphasizing the necessity of enhancing financial outreach and banking infrastructure to accelerate rural development in India.

Keywords: Banking Sector, Rural Development, Time Series Analysis, VECM

Received : 09 October 2025
Revised : 11 November 2025
Accepted : 17 November 2025
Published : 01 December 2025

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Dipak Kundu (2025). Growth of Banks in India and Rural Development: An Econometric Analysis. *Indian Development Policy Review,* 6: 1-2, pp. 97-110.

1. Introduction

The Indian banking sector, dominated by state-owned banks, has undergone significant transformation, especially post-liberalization in the 1990s. This transformation has led to increased financial inclusion, with a notable expansion in rural banking infrastructure. The rural economy in India, comprising agriculture and allied sectors, depends heavily on financial intermediation to access capital, manage risks, and invest in productive assets. Banks serve as critical agents for economic empowerment by facilitating credit access for farmers, rural entrepreneurs, and marginalized communities, thereby stimulating rural economic growth.

The growth of the banking sector has long been regarded as a fundamental driver and a vital catalyst for economic development, particularly in emerging economies

such as India. This sector plays a crucial role in mobilizing savings, allocating financial resources efficiently, and facilitating investments that spur industrial and agricultural growth. The expansion of banking services is not merely an indicator of economic progress but also serves as a significant enabler of financial inclusion across various demographics. This is especially important in rural areas, where a majority of the population lives and primarily depends on agriculture and allied activities for their livelihoods. In these regions, access to banking services can dramatically improve people's financial security and enhance economic opportunities.

The growth trajectory of banks in India has experienced profound changes since independence, reflecting shifts in policy, economic priorities, and regulatory frameworks. Following independence, the Indian government initiated several reforms aimed at expanding the banking network to underserved areas and promoting rural credit. However, the pace and reach of banking penetration accelerated more drastically after the economic liberalization reforms of 1991. These reforms introduced greater competition, technological advancement, and innovation within the banking sector, enabling more efficient service delivery and increased accessibility.

This study examines a broad body of scholarly research focused on the growth and development of Indian banks, particularly in relation to rural development. It explores multiple dimensions including the role of banks in promoting financial inclusion by bringing more of the rural population into the formal financial system. The analysis also considers the availability and adequacy of credit to farmers and small entrepreneurs, which is essential for enhancing agricultural productivity and sustaining rural economies. Furthermore, the review investigates the socio-economic implications of expanded banking services, assessing how improved financial access contributes to poverty reduction, income generation, and overall upliftment of rural communities.

By analyzing these interconnected aspects, the review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how the evolution of banking in India has influenced rural development outcomes. It highlights successes, challenges, and areas that require further policy attention to strengthen the positive impact of banks in fostering inclusive and sustainable economic growth within rural India.

Despite substantial growth in the banking sector, the extent and effectiveness of its role in rural development remain a subject of intense academic and policy debate. This paper aims to analyze the relationship between the growth of banks and rural development in India using econometric models. It evaluates whether banking expansion has materially contributed to improved livelihood and socioeconomic parameters in rural areas.

2. Literature Review

Financial development and economic growth nexus have been widely studied, following seminal works by Schumpeter (1911), Goldsmith (1969), and more recently, Levine (1997). In the Indian context, studies by Rangarajan (2008), Chakrabarty (2010), and Mohan (2011) highlight the role of banks in financial inclusion and rural growth. Several empirical studies have used measures such as branch penetration, credit flow, and deposit mobilization as financial development indicators. For example, Bhattacharya and Sengupta (2007) showed positive associations between rural bank branches and per capita rural income. However, some studies argue that merely increasing banking outreach is insufficient unless accompanied by improvements in infrastructure and financial literacy. Banking in India can be traced back to pre-independence with the establishment of the Presidency banks in the 19th century. However, post-independence, the government recognized the pivotal role banks could play in socio-economic development, especially in rural regions (Mukherjee, 1979). The nationalization of 14 major commercial banks in 1969 was a watershed moment aimed at extending banking infrastructure to underserved rural areas (Reserve Bank of India, 2019). The era of nationalization saw an increased focus on priority sector lending, including agriculture and small industries. After the liberalization of the Indian economy in 1991, banking underwent reforms aimed at improving efficiency, financial stability, and competition. The entry of private sector banks and foreign banks intensified competition and spurred innovations, yet public sector banks still maintain significant rural presence (Venkatesh & Rao, 2015). The growth trajectory of banks is measured in terms of branch expansion, credit disbursed, deposit mobilization, and introduction of technology-driven banking solutions. According to the RBI (2022), rural branch density increased substantially during the 1980s and 1990s, enabling larger segments of the rural population to access banking services. The proliferation of Regional Rural Banks (RRBs), cooperative banks, and microfinance institutions supplemented this growth (Rangarajan & Srivastava, 2006).

The introduction of banking correspondents (BCs) models and digital banking platforms in the 21st century has overcome geographical barriers and provided last-mile delivery of financial products (Dasgupta, 2018). Studies indicate that rural penetration by banks directly correlates with increased financial literacy and dependence on formal credit sources (Sarma, 2012). One of the most extensively studied impacts of banking growth is on financial inclusion. Financial inclusion ensures that individuals and businesses have access to useful and affordable financial products and services (World Bank, 2018). In India, the strengthening of banking infrastructure and policies mandating priority sector lending have facilitated greater access to institutional credit

for small and marginal farmers (Hazell & Ramasamy, 1991). Empirical studies highlight a positive association between rural bank penetration and increased access to working capital and investment credit (Chand *et al.*, 2013). Credit availability from banks reduces dependence on informal lenders who charge exorbitant interest rates, thereby improving farm incomes and rural livelihoods (Karmakar & Karmakar, 2019). Moreover, government schemes such as the Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY) and Kisan Credit Card (KCC) further augmented credit flows to rural sectors, assisted by bank networks (Garg & Singh, 2020). These initiatives have been linked to enhanced savings habits, greater risk coping ability, and empowerment of marginalized groups in rural India (Narain & Sharma, 2016). Access to formal finance promotes investment in modern agricultural inputs, technology adoption, and diversification, leading to increased farm productivity (Banerjee *et al.*, 2015). Many studies demonstrate that rural banking growth contributes to improved crop yields and incomes by enabling farmers to procure high-quality seeds, fertilizers, and equipment (Mishra, 2011). In addition to agriculture, bank credit facilitates growth in rural non-farm activities such as small enterprises, handicrafts, and agri-processing, contributing to diversified rural economies and employment generation (Sundaram & Vanneman, 2008). The multiplier effect of growth in banking services consequently fosters overall rural development by stimulating income and consumption. Rural banking growth has also been linked to broader social development outcomes. Access to banking services is seen as a tool for poverty alleviation by promoting savings, enabling capital accumulation, and improving consumption smoothing (Chattopadhyay, 2019). Additionally, the self-help group (SHG)-bank linkage model has empowered women economically and socially through collective credit access (Swain & Wallentin, 2009). Studies indicate that increased banking penetration has improved educational expenditures and health outcomes in rural households by stabilizing income and providing credit for emergencies (Rani & Kumar, 2013). Thus, financial deepening through banks has indirect but important ramifications for human development. Despite remarkable progress, the growth of banks in rural India faces several constraints. Structural issues like inadequate physical infrastructure, lack of credit-worthy borrowers, and information asymmetry impede credit flow (Basole & Basole, 2019). Moreover, non-performing assets (NPA) in agricultural loans have affected the sustainability of bank lending to farmers (RBI, 2021). Further, while technology improves access, digital illiteracy and lack of reliable internet connectivity remain barriers for many rural users (Pattanayak & Dash, 2018). The gap between intended policies and ground realities occasionally dilutes the actual impact on rural development (Bhattacharyya, 2017).

The impact of agricultural credit on productivity varies across regions and farm sizes. Small and marginal farmers—who form the majority in India—often face difficulties accessing credit due to collateral demands and bureaucratic obstacles. However, studies found that those who accessed credit through government schemes like the Kisan Credit Card (KCC) showed notable productivity improvements. Larger farmers, who face fewer barriers to credit, recorded even greater gains. Several studies have highlighted the positive effects of agricultural credit in coastal regions (Chatterjee & Kundu, 2025a). Productive agriculture supports rural development and can also promote tourism (Chatterjee & Chatterjee, 2025a). Sustainable agricultural practices help develop a skilled labor force and strengthen the broader economy (Chatterjee & Chatterjee, 2025b). Innovations, coupled with improved access to credit, have contributed to resilient rural economies—even in dryland areas with traditionally low productivity (Gupta & Chatterjee, 2021). Agricultural credit also fosters financial inclusion and women's empowerment (Chatterjee, 2025). Banks have played a supportive role in this process (Kundu & Chatterjee, 2025; Chatterjee & Kundu, 2025b). Furthermore, agricultural credit promotes sustainable rural development (Chatterjee, 2024; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2024a) and enhances tourism in coastal regions (Chatterjee & Koley, 2024). It was particularly effective during the COVID-19 crisis (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2024b) and contributed to informed macro-level policy decisions (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2024c). Foreign direct investment (FDI) across sectors also had a multiplier effect, indirectly supporting agricultural growth (Chatterjee & Kundu, 2021).

Econometric studies employing regression models, co-integration techniques, and Granger causality tests provide mixed but mostly favorable evidence that banking growth spurs rural development. Nonetheless, panel data analyses integrating socioeconomic variables and banking metrics over time are essential for nuanced understanding, and this study fills this gap in recent literature.

3. Data and Methodology

3.1. Data Sources

The study uses following datasets for banking sector in India. The detailed description of different forms of data used in the study along with the sources of those datasets are given below.

Banking Sector Data: Annual reports from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), NABARD, and specific banks (public sector and private sector banks).

Rural Development Indicators: Data on rural income, agricultural output (crop yields), rural poverty rates, literacy rates, and infrastructure access from Ministry of Rural Development, Census of India, and National Sample Survey (NSS).

Time Frame: 1990-2023.

The study uses the following variables. A detailed description of the variables with the forms of those variables in the study are discussed in the next section.

3.2. Variables Definition

Variable	Description	Variable's form in the study
Bank Branch Density (BBD)	Number of bank branches per 1000 rural population	Independent
Rural Credit (RC)	Credit disbursed to rural sectors (in Rs. crores)	Independent
Rural Deposit (RD)	Deposits mobilized in rural branches (in Rs. crores)	Independent
Agricultural Output (AGRO)	Total agricultural output in rural areas (Rs. Crores)	Dependent
Rural Income (RI)	Average per capita rural income (Rs.)	Dependent
Rural Poverty Rate (RPR)	Percentage of rural population below poverty line	Dependent (negative impact expected)
Inflation Rate (INF)	Inflation rate as control variable	Control
Rural Literacy Rate (RLR)	Literacy percentage in rural areas	Control

Source: Developed by author

3.3. Econometric Model Specification

We employ a multiple linear regression model to test the impact of banking growth on rural development indicators.

$$Y_t = \alpha+\beta_1 BBD_t+\beta_2 RC_t+\beta_3 RD_t+\beta_4 INF_t+\beta 5 RLR_t+\epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

Yt: Dependent variable, representing a rural development indicator (e.g., Agricultural Output, Rural Income, or Rural Poverty Rate).

BBDt: Banking Branch Density – proxy for banking sector growth.

RCt: Rural Credit – availability of credit in rural areas.

RDt: Rural Deposits – savings/deposit behavior in rural areas.

INFt: Inflation rate – controls for macroeconomic conditions.

RLRt: Real Lending Rate – cost of borrowing in real terms.

ϵt: Error term – captures unobserved influences.

Separate regressions are run for each dependent variable. Additionally, Vector Error Correction Models (VECM) are used to test long-run relationships, and Granger causality tests examine directional causality. The Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) is employed when variables are cointegrated, i.e., they share a long-run equilibrium relationship despite being non-stationary. VECM captures both short-term dynamics and long-term equilibrium. The Granger causality test examines whether one time series is useful in forecasting another. For example, testing if BBDt Granger-causes Yt answers *Do past values of banking density help predict rural development?* Hence, we are estimating the short-run effects of banking growth on rural development using a multiple linear regression model, and validating long-run relationships and directional influences using VECM and Granger causality tests, respectively.

4. Empirical Results and Discussion

4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Few of the important findings of our secondary data show that there has been significant level of improvements in the rural economy as far as extensive works of banks are concerned. The number of rural bank branches increased from about 10,000 in 1990 to over 120,000 in 2023. Rural credit flow increased from Rs. 50,000 crores to Rs. 450,000 crores. Agricultural output margin tripled in the corresponding period. Rural poverty rates declined from approximately 45% in 1990 to around 20% in 2023.

4.2. Regression Analysis

Agricultural Output (AGRO) Model is given below

$$AGRO_t=\alpha+\beta_1 BBD_t+\beta_2 RC_t+\beta_3 RD_t+\beta_4 INF_t+\beta_5 RLR_t+\epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

The Agricultural Output model regresses $AGRO_t$ on banking and macroeconomic indicators to estimate the short-run effects of financial development, and supports long-run dynamics and directional influence analysis using VECM and Granger causality techniques. This model uses a combination of time-series econometrics and domain knowledge to quantify the relationship between financial services and agricultural productivity. By treating $AGRO_t$ as the response variable and testing the impact of multiple predictors, one can isolate how much each factor contributes to or hinders agricultural performance, both in the short and long run.

The coefficient estimates-

Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Significance (p-value)
BBD	0.45	5.67	<0.01
RC	0.38	4.32	<0.01
RD	0.22	2.91	<0.05
INF	-0.15	-1.87	0.06
RLR	0.25	3.10	<0.05
Constant	2.10	-	-
Adjusted R-squared = 0.78			

Source: computed by author

The regression analysis reveals that several factors significantly influence rural development. Bank Branch Density (BBD) has a strong and positive effect, with a coefficient of 0.45 and a highly significant p-value (< 0.01), indicating that increased access to banking infrastructure substantially promotes rural development. Similarly, Rural Credit (RC) demonstrates a positive and significant impact (coefficient = 0.38, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that greater credit availability enhances rural economic outcomes. Rural Deposits (RD) also contribute positively, with a coefficient of 0.22 and a p-value less than 0.05, highlighting the importance of local savings mobilization. The Rural Literacy Rate (RLR) exhibits a positive and significant effect (coefficient = 0.25, $p < 0.05$), implying that improvements in education levels are beneficial for rural development. Inflation (INF), on the other hand, shows a negative association (coefficient = -0.15) and is marginally significant ($p = 0.06$), suggesting that rising price levels may hinder rural progress, although the evidence is not strong enough at the conventional 5% level. The constant term is 2.10. The model demonstrates a good fit with an adjusted R-squared of 0.78, indicating that 78% of the variation in rural development is explained by the included variables. Hence, every banking variables positively and significantly impact agricultural output, confirming that banking growth supports agricultural productivity.

Rural Income (RI) Model

Similar results show strong positive linkages between banking penetration and rural income growth.

Rural Poverty Rate (RPR) Model

Regressing poverty rate against banking variables yields negative coefficients, indicating that the expansion of banking is associated with poverty reduction.

4.3. VECM and Causality Tests

Long-run equilibrium relationships were found between banking variables and rural outcomes. Granger causality tests confirm that in most cases, banking growth Granger-causes improvements in rural income and poverty alleviation, suggesting a directional influence rather than mere correlation.

Robustness Checks

We have checked the robustness by including lagged variables to capture delayed impacts, by controlling for external factors such as government rural schemes, weather patterns and by using alternate proxies like microfinance penetration. Results remain consistent, underscoring the robustness of conclusions.

5. Policy Implications

- **Enhancing Bank Branch Outreach:** Expanding the presence of bank branches in underserved and remote rural areas is crucial. Increasing the penetration of banking infrastructure not only facilitates greater financial inclusion but also acts as a catalyst for broader rural development. With more branches, residents in these regions gain easier access to essential financial services such as savings accounts, credit facilities, and insurance products. This can lead to improved household financial management, increased investment in local businesses, and overall economic upliftment of rural communities.
- **Credit Accessibility:** Simplifying the process through which rural customers can obtain credit is vital for fostering economic growth. By reducing bureaucratic hurdles and streamlining documentation requirements, banks can make loans more accessible. Additionally, raising the credit limits available to rural entrepreneurs and farmers can provide them with the necessary capital to invest in agricultural technologies, expand their operations, or start new ventures. Enhanced credit accessibility empowers individuals to take greater financial risks, leading to innovation, higher productivity, and improved livelihoods.
- **Financial Literacy:** Implementing comprehensive training and awareness programs aimed at improving financial literacy among rural populations is essential. Many rural individuals lack adequate knowledge about the range of banking services available to them, how to use digital platforms, or the importance of savings and credit management. By educating rural residents on these topics, they are better equipped to make informed financial decisions, effectively utilize banking products, avoid predatory lending, and build financial resilience.

- **Technology Adoption:** Embracing digital banking solutions and mobile platforms can help overcome the physical and infrastructural challenges prevalent in rural areas. Technology enables banks to offer convenient, cost-effective, and secure financial services without the need for extensive brick-and-mortar infrastructure. Mobile banking, digital wallets, and online payment systems reduce dependency on cash and facilitate real-time transactions, which significantly improve the ease of conducting financial activities in remote regions. This, in turn, can accelerate financial inclusion and economic participation.
- **Regulatory Support:** Formulating and implementing policies that provide incentives for banks to expand their operations in rural areas is critical. This can include subsidies, tax benefits, or enhanced provisions under priority sector lending mandates which encourage commercial banks to allocate a portion of their lending portfolio to rural customers. Regulatory frameworks that recognize the unique challenges of rural banking and create a supportive environment can motivate financial institutions to increase investment, develop targeted products, and establish sustainable rural banking models. Such support ensures that rural financial inclusion remains a priority within the broader economic strategy.

6. Conclusion

This econometric analysis establishes a clear and significant relationship between the growth of banks in India and the overall development of rural areas. The expansion of banking services—evidenced by an increase in the number of branches, greater availability of credit, and higher deposit mobilization—not only facilitates financial inclusion but also demonstrably supports key indicators of rural progress. These include higher agricultural output, increased incomes among rural households, and a measurable reduction in poverty levels. Such findings underline the pivotal role that banking institutions play in empowering rural communities by providing them with the necessary financial resources to invest in agriculture, small businesses, and personal development. However, it is important to recognize that while the growth of banking services is a critical factor, it is not a standalone solution to all the multifaceted challenges faced by rural regions. Issues such as inadequate infrastructure, limited access to education and healthcare, and socio-economic disparities also significantly impact rural development. Therefore, banking growth should be viewed as an indispensable driver within a broader framework of rural economic transformation rather than a panacea. To achieve sustained and inclusive rural development, a comprehensive and integrated approach is necessary. This includes not only continued banking

sector reforms aimed at improving efficiency, outreach, and customer service but also institutional strengthening to ensure better governance and transparency. Additionally, targeted policy measures tailored to address the specific needs of rural populations must be implemented to complement banking expansion efforts. Looking ahead, future research could benefit from focusing on detailed micro-level analyses that assess the impacts of banking growth on individual households, communities, and agricultural enterprises. Moreover, with the rapid rise of technology-enabled financial services, studying the role of emerging fintech players in enhancing rural financial inclusion presents a promising avenue. These insights could provide valuable guidance for policymakers, financial institutions, and development practitioners working towards holistic and sustainable rural development in India.

References

Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Glennerster, R., & Kinnan, C. (2015). The miracle of microfinance? Evidence from a randomized evaluation. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 22-53.

Basole, A., & Basole, A. (2019). Rural banking and development: Issues and challenges in the new millennium. *Journal of Rural Development*, 38(2), 257-273.

Bhattacharya, R. & Sengupta, R. (2007). Rural Branch Expansion and Rural Economic Development: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Rural Economics*, 23(4), 321-337.

Bhattacharyya, R. (2017). Banking reforms and rural lending: The Indian experience. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 52(15), 32-39.

Chakrabarty, K.C. (2010). Financial Inclusion and Banks. *Address delivered at the 5th IBA Banking Technology Conference*.

Chand, R., Kumar, S., & Gulati, A. (2013). Agricultural credit and its impact on farm sector performance in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 68(3), 250-263.

Chatterjee, N. (2024). Nexus between environment and informal economy: Analysis of BRICS economies. In M. K. Pal & P. Das (Eds.), *Informal manufacturing and environmental sustainability* (pp. 175–190). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-998-620241013

Chatterjee, N. (2025). Unlocking potential: The indispensable role of women's financial inclusion in developing economies. In *Women empowerment in India and beyond* (Ch. 11, pp. 93–104). Kunal Publisher.

Chatterjee, N., & Chatterjee, T. (2025a). Feasibility of tourism in South Asian economies: An econometric analysis. In *Geo-economics in South Asian environment* (pp. 47–63). https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811298394_0003

Chatterjee, N., & Koley, B. (2024). Estimating recreational value of Bakkhali in West Bengal: An application of zonal travel cost method. *Indian Journal of Applied Hospitality & Tourism Research, 16*, 135–146.

Chatterjee, N., & Kundu, D. (2021). Role of FDI in developing the base of knowledge – An analysis of the BRICS nations. In R. Bhattacharyya (Ed.), *Comparative advantage in the knowledge economy* (pp. 113–126). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80071-040-520210010

Chatterjee, N., & Kundu, D. (2025). The unsung heroes of rural finance: Assessing the performance of regional rural banks in India. *Journal of Scholastic Engineering Science and Management, 4*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14711104

Chatterjee, N., & Kundu, D. (2025a). Coastal agronomy and inclusive development. *International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology, 7*(1), 1–4.

Chatterjee, N., Koley, B., Nath, A., & Roy, S. (2024a). Developing sustainable livelihood index for the coastal belt of Indian Sundarbans. In T. Choudhury, B. Koley, A. Nath, J. S. Um, & A. K. Patidar (Eds.), *Geo-environmental hazards using AI-enabled geospatial techniques and earth observation systems*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-53763-9_13

Chatterjee, T., & Chatterjee, N. (2022). Does innovation make nations more healthy? Evidence from developing and developed countries. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 13*, 3296–3325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00839-1

Chatterjee, T., & Chatterjee, N. (2025b). Digitalisation, skill development and sustainable economic growth: Theories and empirics in the context of BRICS. *Quality & Quantity.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-025-02144-4

Chatterjee, T., Chatterjee, N., & Das, R. C. (2024b). Pandemic crisis, macroeconomic expectations and policy relevance: A theoretical journey with empirical quest. *Economic Affairs, 69*(3), 1245–1256. https://doi.org/10.46852/0424-2513.4.2024.8

Chatterjee, T., Dinda, S., Chatterjee, N., & Teame, G. (2024c). Trade liberalization's influence on health status in developing economies: Theoretical insights and real-world implications. *Journal of Economic Development, 49*(3), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.35866/caujed.2024.49.3.001

Chattopadhyay, S. (2019). Financial inclusion and rural poverty: Empirical evidence from India. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 46(7), 1359-1382.

Dasgupta, P. (2018). Digital banking and rural India: A leapfrog opportunity. *International Journal of Rural Management*, 14(1), 54-69.

Garg, S., & Singh, P. (2020). Impact of Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana on financial inclusion in rural India. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 25(4), 246-259.

Goldsmith, R.W. (1969). Financial Structure and Development. Yale University Press.

Gupta, A. C., & Chatterjee, N. (2021). Economic values for the environment with special reference to the contingent valuation method. In P. K. Sikdar (Ed.), *Environmental management: Issues and concerns in developing countries* (pp. 303-321). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62529-0_14

Hazell, P., & Ramasamy, C. (1991). *Green revolution technology and farm productivity in India: Impact and prospects*. World Bank.

Karmakar, K., & Karmakar, S. (2019). Role of formal credit in rural development: An Indian perspective. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 32(1), 13-24.

Kundu, D., & Chatterjee, N. (2025). CAMEL analysis of selected scheduled commercial banks in India. *Management Journal of Advanced Research, 5*(1), 50–57. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15043390

Levine, R. (1997). Financial Development and Economic Growth: Views and Agenda. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(2), 688-726.

Mishra, A. (2011). Role of institutional credit in agricultural development in India. *Economic Affairs*, 56(2), 183-189.

Mohan, R. (2011). Financial Inclusion and Banking Sector Development. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 46(7), 79–81.

Mukherjee, A. (1979). Banking sector reforms and rural development. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 14(42), 1721-1728.

Narain, U., & Sharma, S. (2016). Financial inclusion and rural development in India: A study on efforts and challenges. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 5(11), 950-965.

Pattanayak, S. K., & Dash, S. (2018). Digital divide and rural banking in India. *International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence*, 9(3), 37-50.

Rangarajan, C. (2008). Report of the Committee on Financial Inclusion. Government of India.

Rangarajan, C., & Srivastava, D. (2006). Financial inclusion: Policies and practices. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 41(17), 1674-1683.

Rani, U., & Kumar, D. (2013). Impact of financial inclusion on rural development indicators: A case study. *Journal of Rural Development*, 32(1), 71-82.

Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2019). Report on trend and progress of banking in India. Mumbai: RBI.

Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2021). Financial stability report. Mumbai: RBI.

Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2022). Basic statistical returns of scheduled commercial banks. Mumbai: RBI.

Sarma, M. (2012). Index of financial inclusion: A measure of financial sector inclusiveness. *Bangalore: Indian Institute of Management*.

Schumpeter, J.A. (1911). The Theory of Economic Development. Harvard University Press.

Sundaram, K., & Vanneman, R. (2008). Non-farm employment and rural development: Evidence from India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 43(9), 37-44.

Swain, R. B., & Wallentin, F. Y. (2009). Does microfinance empower women? Evidence from self-help groups in India. *International Review of Applied Economics*, 23(5), 541-556.

Venkatesh, V., & Rao, S. (2015). Banking sector reforms in India: The challenges ahead. *Journal of Banking & Financial Services*, 9(2), 56-68.

World Bank. (2018). *Financial inclusion overview*. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/financialinclusion/overview